Annex [#]. Social and Environmental Screening Template

The completed template, which constitutes the Social and Environmental Screening Report, must be included as an annex to the Project Document. Please refer to the <u>Social and Environmental Screening Procedure</u> and <u>Toolkit</u> for guidance on how to answer the 6 questions.

Project Information

Pro	ject Information	
1.	Project Title	Efficient and Accountable Local Governance (EALG)
2.	Project Number	00106748
3.	Location (Global/Region/Country)	Bangaldesh

Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach

The Efficient and Accountable Local Governance (EALG) project is working to improve local governance especially for 18 low performing Upazila Parishad (UZP) and 251 Union Parishad (UP), the middle and lowest tiers of local government institutions in Bangladesh. Besides improving the local governance, EALG also mainstreaming various human rights based approaches through activation of UPs and UZPs Facebook pages so that UPs can share messages and the news of development interventions to the people and similarly citizens can put their opinion if any. EALG also providing technical support to UPs for organizing public hearing to receive complains from the public so that people's basic and human rights can be addressed. EALG for the first time initiated the public hearing, a nice human rights approach at UP level which was not practiced earlier. On the other hand, the project regularly supporting UPs and UZPs to organize Ward Shava and Open Budget session (community meetings) to hear from people and receive various development proposals from their end. Women including various categories of vulnerable people attending the community meetings and raising their voices. EALG also organized workshops on anti-corruption and right to information at Upazila level with relevant stakeholders so that they can support to ensure transparency and protect human rights in the locality. EALG during this nCOVID19 emergency situation disseminated national hotline number (16430) through UPs' Facebook pages so that people can easily access human rights protection just by giving a call there. EALG by taking approval from government entity and development partners is going to support near about 36,000 COVID19 vulnerable people with various preventive materials. The project will also support to increase public awareness on Corona outbreaks. EALG also trying to incorporate human rights issues in its various policy and program guidelines.

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment

EALG provided training to UP and UZP's women representatives (716) on gender and leadership development. The project also arranged training on functionalization of WDF for its executive members (Women Development Forum {WDF} is a platform of elected women representatives working to ensure gender responsive planning and protection of women's rights at LGIs). EALG also providing technical support to WDFs for organizing their bi-monthly meetings so that they can prepare their gender responsive proposal for Upazila Parisahd. EALG for its Cox's Bazar District will organize IGA training through which number of poor and vulnerable women will get access for their economic empowerment.

EALG also regularly organizing Orange Campaigns and disseminating key messages and hotline number (national and local) through orange card, poster, stage drama, etc. It is evident that, UZP's female Vice Chair leading 17% schemes while 20% schemes of UPs leading by women members of UP. WDFs also mobilized 18,50,000 BDT in 2018-19 fiscal year under 3% ADP allocation and provided Income Generating (IGA) training to 199 poor and vulnerable women. On the other hand, eighteen Women Development Forums (WDFs) up to date addressed 84 early marriages, 53 sexual harassment and 35 other types of violence in their locality. EALG is going to undertake studies on challenges and potentials of women representatives at LGIs. The project will carry advocacy initiatives for implementing the policy initiatives after completion of the study. These are some snap shots to improve gender and women empowerment process by EALG at its intervention areas.

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability

Considering environment and climate change issue, EALG project already organized 28 batches of training sessions on environment, climate change and its impact issues with UP functionaries. A total of 1,225 (male-957, female-268) participants attended the training sessions. During the training session, UP public representatives and secretaries oriented about local climate risks and hazards (flood, cyclone, thunder, salinity, drought, etc.) and the strategies to address those vulnerabilities. The training helped participants to address environment and climate issues in their planning process. After receiving the training, many of the UPs revised and prepared their environment and climate responsive annual plan. EALG organized series of awareness campaigns and trainings to UP and UZP functionaries on SDGs' localization process where environment issues incorporated as one of its goals. EALG is aware about environmental issues and also careful of program designing as such.

Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks? Note: Describe briefly potential social and environmental risks identified in Attachment 1 – Risk Screening Checklist (based on any "Yes" responses). If no risks have been identified in Attachment 1 then note "No Risks Identified" and skip to Question 4 and Select "Low Risk". Questions 5 and 6 not required for Low Risk Projects.	potential so	ocial and envir	level of significance of the onmental risks? 4 and 5 below before proceeding	QUESTION 6: What social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?
Risk Description	Impact and Probability (1-5)	Significance (Low, Moderate, High)	Comments	Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.
Risk 1: No Risk Identified	l = P =			
Risk 2: No Risk Identified	l = P =			
Risk 3: No Risk Identified	l = P =			
Risk 4: No Risk Identified	l = P =			

[add additional rows as needed]			
	QUESTION 4: What is the overall Project risk catego	orizatio	on?
	Select one (see <u>SESP</u> for guidance)		Comments
	Low Risk		Low Risk
	Moderate Risk		
	High Risk		
	QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risks and risk categorization, what requirements of the SES are relevant?		
	Check all that apply		Comments
	Principle 1: Human Rights		
	Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment		
	1. Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management		
	2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation		
	3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions		
	4. Cultural Heritage		
	5. Displacement and Resettlement		
	6. Indigenous Peoples		
	7. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency		

Final Sign Off

Signature	Date	Description
		UNDP staff member responsible for the Project, typically a UNDP Programme Officer. Final signature
		confirms they have "checked" to ensure that the SESP is adequately conducted.
QA Assessor		
Nguyen Thi Ngoc Van, DRR		UNDP senior manager, typically the UNDP Deputy Country Director (DCD) , Country Director (CD),
		Deputy Resident Representative (DRR), or Resident Representative (RR). The QA Approver cannot also
QA Approver		be the QA Assessor. Final signature confirms they have "cleared" the SESP prior to submittal to the PAC.

	UNDP chair of the PAC. In some cases PAC Chair may also be the QA Approver. Final signature confirms
	that the SESP was considered as part of the project appraisal and considered in recommendations of the
PAC Chair	PAC.

SESP Attachment 1. Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

Che	cklist Potential Social and Environmental <u>Risks</u>	
Princ	iples 1: Human Rights	Answer (Yes/No)
1.	Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No
2.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups? ¹	No
3.	Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?	No
4.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	No
5.	Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?	No
6.	Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	No
7.	Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?	No
8.	Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project- affected communities and individuals?	No
Princ	iple 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	
1.	Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	No
2.	Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	No
3.	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment?	No
4.	Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	No
	For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being	
	iple 3: Environmental Sustainability: Screening questions regarding environmental risks are encompassed by pecific Standard-related questions below	
Stan	dard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management	
1.1	Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	No

¹ Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, ethnicity, gender, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. References to "women and men" or similar is understood to include women and men, boys and girls, and other groups discriminated against based on their gender identities, such as transgender people and transsexuals.

		1
	For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes	
1.2	Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	No
1.3	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5)	No
1.4	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species?	No
1.5	Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?	No
1.6	Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.7	Does the Project involve the production and/or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	No
1.8	Does the Project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water?	No
	For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction	
1.9	Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)	No
1.10	Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
1.11	Would the Project result in secondary or consequential development activities which could lead to adverse social and environmental effects, or would it generate cumulative impacts with other known existing or planned activities in the area?	No
	For example, a new road through forested lands will generate direct environmental and social impacts (e.g. felling of trees, earthworks, potential relocation of inhabitants). The new road may also facilitate encroachment on lands by illegal settlers or generate unplanned commercial development along the route, potentially in sensitive areas. These are indirect, secondary, or induced impacts that need to be considered. Also, if similar developments in the same forested area are planned, then cumulative impacts of multiple activities (even if not part of the same Project) need to be considered.	
Stand	ard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	
2.1	Will the proposed Project result in significant ² greenhouse gas emissions or may exacerbate climate change?	No
2.2	Would the potential outcomes of the Project be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?	No
2.3	Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental vulnerability to climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)?	No
	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding	
Stand	ard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	
3.1	Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	No
3.2	Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	No

² In regards to CO₂, 'significant emissions' corresponds generally to more than 25,000 tons per year (from both direct and indirect sources). [The Guidance Note on Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation provides additional information on GHG emissions.]

3.3	Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings)?	No
3.4	Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)	No
3.5	Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions?	No
3.6	Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector-borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?	No
3.7	Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning?	No
3.8	Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labor standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	No
3.9	Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)?	No
Stand	lard 4: Cultural Heritage	
4.1	Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: Projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)	No
4.2	Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
Stand	ard 5: Displacement and Resettlement	
5.1	Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	No
5.2	Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?	No
5.3	Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions? ³	No
5.4	Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
Stand	ard 6: Indigenous Peoples	
6.1	Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	No
6.2	Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.3	Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)?	No
	If the answer to the screening question 6.3 is "yes" the potential risk impacts are considered potentially	

³ Forced evictions include acts and/or omissions involving the coerced or involuntary displacement of individuals, groups, or communities from homes and/or lands and common property resources that were occupied or depended upon, thus eliminating the ability of an individual, group, or community to reside or work in a particular dwelling, residence, or location without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protections.

6.4	Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
6.5	Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.6	Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?	No
6.7	Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
6.8	Would the Project potentially affect the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No
6.9	Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No
Stand	lard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	
	Hard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non- routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
Stand 7.1 7.2	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-	No
7.1	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non- routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts? Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-	
7.1 7.2	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts? Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)? Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to	No
7.1 7.2	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts? Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)? Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs? For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Stockholm	No